

ADDENDUM NO. ONE

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

**AUTOMATED TRAFFIC SIGNAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES
("ATSPM") PLATFORM**

PROJECT NO. 261-25

CITY OF THORNTON, CO

TO: Prospective Proposing Firms and all others concerned

DATE: August 5, 2025

PURPOSE: To provide additional information and clarification to the solicitation documents for the above-referenced Project.

1. The following questions and answers are provided for additional clarification to the Request for Proposal (RFP). All answers from Thornton in response to Vendor submitted questions have been provided in **bold** text.

Question No. 1: In Appendix No. 2 – Proposal Questions Document, Section IV: RFP Questions – Implementation Team and Timeline, Subsection C: Proposed Project Schedule (Page 10), it is stated that “Thornton has provided the Vendors with a Microsoft Excel document, titled ‘101-25 RFP Appendix No. 3 – Gantt Chart and Engagement Hours’” for completion and submission as part of the final proposal. However, Appendix No. 3 included with the RFP materials is the Pricing Form.

Can the City please confirm whether the referenced “Gantt Chart and Engagement Hours” document is a separate file that will be issued via addendum, or if it was mislabeled and refers to an existing document already provided?

Answer No. 1: This is a typo on Thornton’s part. Thornton is requesting an answer to Vendor Proposal Question IV.C.1 – Gantt Chart, with a proposing Vendor to provide a Gantt Chart.

The sentence that states, “Thornton has provided the Vendors with a Microsoft Excel document, titled "101-25 RFP Appendix No. 3 – Gantt Chart and Engagement Hours” for a proposing Vendor to complete and return as part of their final proposal documents, based on their answers to questions located here in proposal question IV.B” is a typo and can be struck from the record of this RFP. This was an accidental copy and paste from a previous RFP.

Question No. 2: Could the City please provide the specific point allocation or percentage weighting for each evaluation criterion outlined in Section E.12 of the RFP?

Answer No. 2: Thornton is currently utilizing an 80%/20% weighting of eighty percent (80%) on technical answers provided by the Vendor and twenty (20%) for pricing.

Question No. 3: Can the City confirm the version of ASC/3 firmware currently deployed on the existing controllers?

Answer No. 3: Firmware versions 32.64.00 through 32.68.40 are currently in use at the City.

Question No. 4: Does the city have any specific technologies for which they would like API integration?

Answer No. 4: The City does not currently have any technologies for which API integration is desired.

2. All other terms and conditions shall remain unchanged except as provided by this Addendum. Proposing firms must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in their Proposal.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. ONE

Andrew Miskell, CPPB
Purchasing Manager

Date