

**ADDENDUM NO. TWO**  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**  
**RED LIGHT AND SPEED ENFORCEMENT EQUIPMENT**  
**PROJECT NO. 247-24**  
**CITY OF THORNTON, CO**

TO: Prospective Proposing Firms and all others concerned

DATE: October 22, 2024

PURPOSE: To provide additional information and clarification to the solicitation documents for the above-referenced Project.

1. The following questions and answers are provided for additional clarification to the RFP. All answers from Thornton in response to Vendor submitted questions have been provided in **bold** text.

Question # 1: Will Thornton be capturing frontal images to identify a driver for either of these offenses? Red light offense and/or speed camera enforcement.

**Answer # 1: Thornton would seek for the Vendor's proposed solution to capture a picture of driver for confirmation later on, should the driver contest that another person was operating the vehicle. At the end of the day, Thornton and the systems it uses, will adhere to all federal, state and local laws.**

Question # 2: Will Adams County court be involved in any way with the violations generated by this technology?

**Answer # 2: No, they will not. Notices filed will be specific to the Thornton municipal court only.**

Question # 3: With regards to the red-light intersections, both locations have four (4) approaches, with one approach each from the North, South, East, and West. Does Thornton intend to enforce all four approaches in both locations?

**Answer # 3: Yes.**

Question # 4: From section B.2 – Goals from the main RFP document, goal # 2 states that Thornton's goal is *"An ability of the Vendor to perform the installation of the stationary equipment at Thornton's designated intersections, as a comprehensive system that detects and records red-light and speed violations."*

Is Thornton looking for both red-light and speed enforcement at both intersections? This is often referred to as “speed on green”. Please clarify.

**Answer # 4:** Thornton is not seeking for speed enforcement from a Vendor’s stationary cameras for red-light enforcement at intersections. If a Vendor has that as an optional feature, the Vendor may include that option and any additional costs associated with the option in their proposal, but it must be clearly marked as “optional” and is not part of the primary proposal your firm is proposing if there are additional costs associated with it.

Question # 5: Of the following services, what does Thornton expect the awarded Vendor to perform and be included in the proposed pricing and bid response?

- Print/Mailing
- Call Center
- Banking and payment processing, including:
  - Electronic lockbox
  - Electronic payments
  - IVR payments
- Collections and Process Serving

**Answer # 5:** Thornton’s desire is for the awarded Vendor to handle all of these items, with the exception of collections for disputed instances. For disputed photos that are taken before the court, Thornton’s Courts team will handle the collection of any fines for citations that the driver has failed to comply.

**Proposing Vendors should ensure that they include in their proposal submission what items of these they are capable of handling and what is included in the proposal and pricing submission.**

2. The Pre-Proposal Sign-in Sheet is attached at the end of this addendum for additional information.
3. All other terms and conditions shall remain unchanged except as provided by this Addendum. Proposing firms must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in their Proposal.

***END OF ADDENDUM NO. TWO***

---

Megan deGrood, CPPB  
Purchasing Manager

Date