#### ADDENDUM NO. ONE

#### REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

# RIVERDALE TRAIL CONNECTION

## PROJECT NO. 21-674G

# CITY OF THORNTON, CO

TO: Prospective Proposing Firms and all others concerned

DATE: November 16, 2022

PURPOSE: To provide additional information and clarification to the solicitation documents

for the above-referenced Project.

1. The following information shall become part of the original Request for Proposal (RFP) for this Project.

- A. The proposal due date is hereby revised. Proposals will be received until **4:30** p.m., Thursday, December 1, 2022.
- 2. The following questions and answers are provided for additional clarification to the RFP.

Question 1: "Funding is only available for the southern section?"

Answer 1: Red portion is the only section that the City of Thornton (Thornton) has budgeted for construction at this time, however, design services are to be rendered for both sections of the trail at this time.

Question 2: "Page 51, periodic meetings allotted, is in conflict with page 52, what is the number needed?"

Answer 2: For the Construction Phase, Consultant shall plan on attending the Pre-Construction meeting with the contractor and perform three (3) field inspections.

Question 3: "Is a Geotech report required with this project?"

Answer 3: No, it is not necessary.

Question 4: "Will City's standards and specifications be required to be followed?"

Answer 4: Yes.

Question 5: "Are there any lighting plans needed?"

Answer 5: No, lighting plans are not required at this time.

Question 6: "Are the segments shown the extent of the project?"

Answer 6: Yes, those are the extent of the Project.

Question 7: "For printing and repro fees, should a price per sf be given, or give it at COST?"

Answer 7: All reproduction fees shall be included in the proposed Not-to-Exceed fee.

Question 8: "Potholing allowance, should the subcontractors' rates be provided?"

Answer 8: Yes, please provide them on the Exhibit C, and list them as personnel on Exhibit B.

Question 9: "With the ongoing resurfacing and striping project going on Riverdale Road, will coordination of getting these painted be needed?"

Answer 9: Current timeline is indicating the striping project will be complete prior to construction of this Project.

Question 10: "Should the two ADA ramps be left in place?"

Answer 10: Yes, please maintain these ramps and sidewalk width. Match sidewalk widths on north side.

Question 11: "Is the area up north around the ditch wide enough to get this trail expansion in?"

Answer 11: This is a portion of the feasibility of design associated with the consultant Scope of Design.

Question 12: "Should Consultant design maintain existing easements and ROWs in the area? Should the survey be done outside of the current right of way?"

Answer 12: Yes.

Question 13: "Is Adams County aware of the project?"

Answer 13: Yes, they are aware and have approved of this connection to date.

Question 14: "When was the cost estimate performed?"

Answer 14: It was initially done in years past and has recently been adjusted.

Question 15: "Is there a chance to push the due date back to accommodate for Thanksgiving Holiday?"

Answer 15: Yes, see item 1A of this Addendum.

Question 16: "Is the intent of the 75' OC to be within a 150' swath? Is there anything to do with utilities on this?"

Answer 16: Yes, this is the intent. The utilities would be coordinated through Adams County.

Question 17: "Will the PM be responsible for setting up project meetings, or will the consultant?"

Answer 17: PM will notify all associated parties and departments to the Project team for meetings.

Question 18: "Will the easements be provided to the Consultant?"

Answer 18: Thornton will provide the easement information to the Consultant.

Question 19: "Please clarify whether a "certified" SUE quality level B survey, stamped and signed by the certifying engineer, is required for any portion of the project. The wording in the RFP is vague, and appears to be leaving it to the design engineer to determine what QL (A, B, C, D) of the survey is being provided, as opposed to providing a SUE "certified" survey, stamped and signed by the engineer that is certifying the survey."

Answer 19: The design needs to comply with the Colorado Subsurface Utility Engineering Law. From our read of the law, a "certified" SUE deliverable is probably not required on this project because it doesn't satisfy the main two triggers in the law—1000+SF contiguous excavation deeper than 2 ft or boring. That said, depending on the approach on the north section of the trail on the west side of Riverdale Rd we could meet the excavation trigger depending on the choices made by the Consultant. For the purposes of this RFP, Thornton would add that scope by amendment later on if we determine we're going to meet the trigger of the law. What we want to make clear though is that even if we don't meet the trigger in the law we're not excluding any utility identification, coordination, etc., just the level of precision.

Question 20: "Is a Survey Control Diagram required to be included in the Construction Documents plan set?"

Answer 20: Yes

- 3. The Pre-Proposal Conference Sign-in Sheet is attached for additional information.
- 4. All other terms and conditions shall remain unchanged except as provided by this Addendum. Proposing firms must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in their Proposal.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. ONE  Sean Saddler, PE	11/16/2022
Sean Saddler	Date
Support Services Director	

City of Thornton

	_			
Project Name:	Riverdale Trail Connection			
Project Number:	21-674G			e:
Name	Company	Phone	Email	
Daven Steeling	WR Engineering	43/267-6193	dsterling & irengineering.	COM
KIM NEWON			1500 KONTELBON CRICKENGI	
JOHN HUBEUY	I CON ENG	303-221-		
MAH URSETTA	ICONFUC	0802	MURSEHA @ I can E	ug.
Margaret Brown	Topographic	(3)551-	margaret, browne	
John Moschorich	Benesch	720-473	Imeschonich abenerch.com	
Sopnie Scott	ROCKSO1	303 -	SCOH@rocksol.com	
Chet Smith	Topographic	989-1461	chet. smith @ topographic.c	om
				1
				1
				1
				-
				-
				-
				-
				1
				a.

#### **Certificate Of Completion**

Envelope Id: AA1190B7C38E4FE2B5F4622B3C24E562

Subject: Complete with DocuSign: 21-675G Addend no1 11-16-22

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 4 Envelope Originator: Signatures: 1 Certificate Pages: 1 Initials: 0 Patrick Hinterberger

AutoNav: Enabled 9500 Civic Center Drive Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled Thornton, CO 80229

Time Zone: (UTC-07:00) Mountain Time (US & Canada) Patrick.Hinterberger@ThorntonCO.gov

IP Address: 199.117.212.4

Status: Completed

#### **Record Tracking**

Status: Original Location: DocuSign Holder: Patrick Hinterberger

11/16/2022 4:54:29 PM Patrick.Hinterberger@ThorntonCO.gov

### **Signer Events**

Sean Saddler, PE sean.saddler@ThorntonCO.gov Contracts and Purchasing Director

SHI OBO City of Thornton

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

#### Signature

DocuSigned by: Sean Saddler, PE -31744714E1834C9...

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 199.117.212.4

#### **Timestamp**

Sent: 11/16/2022 4:55:23 PM Viewed: 11/16/2022 5:05:32 PM Signed: 11/16/2022 5:05:47 PM

#### **Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:**

Not Offered via DocuSign

Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp
1AP4 = 4	0'	T'
Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
	_	·
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Notary Events  Envelope Summary Events	Signature Status	Timestamp Timestamps
Notary Events  Envelope Summary Events  Envelope Sent	Signature Status Hashed/Encrypted	Timestamps 11/16/2022 4:55:23 PM
Notary Events  Envelope Summary Events  Envelope Sent Certified Delivered	Signature  Status  Hashed/Encrypted Security Checked	Timestamps 11/16/2022 4:55:23 PM 11/16/2022 5:05:32 PM